

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexondria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,266	0	7/07/2003	Xian-Kui Shi		1265
25859	7590	07/14/2004		EXAM	INER
WEI TE CH	UNG		CHUNG TRANS, XUONG MY		
FOXCONN IN 1650 MEMOR		TIONAL, INC. VE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CLA				2833	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
			esk.
Office Action Summary	10/604,266	SHI, XIAN-KUI	<u> </u>
	Examiner M. Ohana Tagas	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communic	Xuong M. Chung-Trans	h the correspondence add	droce
Period for Reply	auon appears on the cover sheet with	Ture correspondence auc	11 633
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT II, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely 'HS from the mailing date of this co	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>21 April 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)∐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	•	•	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the appearance 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the		–	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		•	
Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			D 4 404/d\
11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· •	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been real Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC)	4) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
Notice of Diantsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC)		ormal Patent Application (PTO	-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/604,266 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. This is responsive to the amendment filed on April 21, 2004. Claim 1 has been amended. Thus, claims 1-20 are pending in this application.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beck (US Pat. No. 5,759,063).

Beck discloses all that which is claimed, except for the receiving portion is integrally connected to the housing (See fig.1 or 4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the receiving portion can be molded as an integral unit for easy assembly and effective. The court has held that forming in one - piece article that has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.s. 164 (1893).

- 4. Claims 11-20 are allowed.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed on April 21, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that Beck fails to disclose the receiving portion is integrally connected to the housing as amended in claim 1. However, it would have been to one skilled artisan in the art at the time the invention was made that the receiving portion can be molded as an integral unit for easy assembly and effective. The court has held that forming in one - piece article that has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.s. 164 (1893).

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,266 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xuong M. Chung-Trans whose telephone number is (571) 272-2002. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from

9:30AM to 1:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley, can be reached on (571) 272-2800 extension 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

X. Chung-Trans

P. AUSTIN BRADLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800